

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/080,684	05/18/98	MIKUS		т т	ΓH-1038	
_		PM82/0523	\neg		EXAMINER	
DEL S CHRISTENSEN SHELL OIL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY P O BOX 2463 HOUSTON TX 77252-2463				TAYLOR, [PAPER NUMBER	
				3673 DATE MAILED:	05/23/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/080,684

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit
Dennis L. Taylor 3673

3673

Mikus, T. et al

X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 4, 2000	·				
X	This action is FINAL.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
is ap	shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond value to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time of CFR 1.136(a).	within the period for response will cause the				
Dis	sposition of Claims					
	X Claim(s) 1-7 and 10	is/are pending in the application.				
	Of the above, claim(s) 2-5 and 10	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
	☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
	X Claim(s) 1, 6, and 7					
	☐ Claim(s)					
	☐ Claims are su					
Αn	oplication Papers					
•	☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948.				
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.				
	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority	documents have been				
	received.					
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
	☐ received in this national stage application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35	II.C.C. § 110/a)				
		0.3.C. ¥ 119(e).				
At	ctachment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
	☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
	italias of bruitapoison a rationt brawing heview, i i 0-5-70					

Application/Control Number: 09/080,684 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election of species has been required in this application. In paper No. 10, Applicants elected the species shown in Figure 2, which include claims 1, 2 and 5-9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 1, it is not clear how the combustion gases are passed through the soil and not injected into the contaminated soil because there is no method steps set forth in the claim that would preclude the gases from being injected into the soil. Further, it is not clear where the "horizontal conduit" through which the contaminated vapors are located. Claims 6-9 are indefinite because they depend from an indefinite base claim. As to claim 10, it is not clear what structure/method steps are being claim because there is no embodiment disclosed that the claim reads on.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/080,684 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 6 and 7 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al (U.S. 5,271,693) for reasons as set forth in paper No. 11.

Response to Arguments

4. Again, there is nothing in claim 1 that indicates that any gases are circulated. Therefore, since these method steps are not recited in the rejected claims, patentable weight cannot be given such arguments. Further, this is not an indication that if the claims were amended to include these elements that the claims would be allowable. Such limitations have not been considered during the prosecution of this application, and therefore, any amendment after final to this effect will not be considered. Also, Applicants remarks, paragraph No. 4, lines 4-8, of the remarks, have been considered. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

As to Applicants arguments concerning the election of species, see the examiner's comments in paper No. 11. Moreover, such arguments are most at this point of prosecution because the election was made without traverse in paper No. 11.

Application/Control Number: 09/080,684 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 2-5 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. .

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Taylor whose **telephone number is (703) 308-1013**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The Official fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

DENNIS L. TAYLOR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3673

May 22, 2000 080684.f